organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Robert A. Burrow,^a* Ademir F. Morel,^a† Ilmar B. Graebner,^b Alan J. Lough^c and David H. Farrar^c

^aDepartamento de Química, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul 97119-900, Brazil, ^bDepartamento da Ciência da Natureza, Universidade Federal de Acre, Acre, Brazil M5S 1H6, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1H6

+ Contact author with regard to extraction and identification; e-mail: afmorel@base.ufsm.br.

Correspondence e-mail: rburrow@ewald.base.ufsm.br

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.013 Å R factor = 0.063 wR factor = 0.161 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

C 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Absolute configuration of diacetylated acrenol as its chloroform solvate

The title compound, (15S)-15,16-diacetato-3 β ,20-epoxy-3 α -hydroxy-9-epi-7-pimaren-19,6 β -olide chloroform solvate, $C_{24}H_{32}O_8$ ·CHCl₃, formed from the diacetylation of acrenol, isolated from the tubers of *Humirianthera ampla*, crystallizes as a chloroform solvate. The anomalous dispersion of the Cl atoms allows the absolute configuration to be determined. The structure is based on a pimarane skeleton and shows an identical conformation to the previously determined structures of icancinol and the acetylated derivative of humirianthol.

Comment

The title compound, (I), was derived by an acetylation reaction of acrenol. Acrenol, isolated from the tubers of *Humirianthera ampla* of the Icacinaceae family and obtained from the bush in Rio Branco, Acre, Brazil, was structurally characterized by spectroscopic techniques (Graebner *et al.*, 2000).



Since the stereochemistry of the numerous chiral centres could not be determined, a single-crystal X-ray structure determination was attempted. The parent compound did not yield crystals and so the acetylated derivative was synthesized. The resulting small crystals diffracted very weakly and reliable data could be obtained only to 20° in θ from the images collected by the CCD system. Solution of the structure revealed that a molecule of chloroform cocrystallized with the title compound. The presence of the Cl atoms generated sufficient anomalous dispersion that the absolute configuration could be determined reliably.

The structure is in agreement with that determined spectroscopically and has a core identical to those of icancinol (On'okoko *et al.*, 1985) and the acetylated derivative of humirianthol (Burrow *et al.*, 2003). Fig. 2 shows a superposition of the latter with the title compound, made with the quaternion transformation method (MacKay, 1984) within *PLATON* (Spek, 2002). Hydrogen-bonding details are given in Table 2. Received 24 January 2003 Accepted 11 February 2003 Online 21 February 2003



Figure 1

A displacement ellipsoid plot of the title compound drawn at the 50% probability level, with the atomic labelling scheme.





Experimental

The plant source and extraction are detailed in Graebner et al. (2000). The purified acrenol was acetylated using a modified version of a procedure by Hennig (1985); 0.5 mmol of acrenol, 3 ml of pyridine and 3 ml of acetic anhydride were stirred for 3 h. The residue was dissolved in chloroform, washed with 2 M HCl and precipitated with hexanes. Thin-layer chromatography showed only one product.

Crystal data

C24H32O8·CHCl3
$M_r = 567.86$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$
a = 8.0961 (8) Å
b = 11.2954 (18) Å
c = 28.684 (4) Å
V = 2623.1 (6) Å ³
Z = 4
$D_{\rm m} = 1.438 {\rm Mg}{\rm m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer φ scans and ω scans with κ offsets Absorption correction: multi-scan (DÊNZO-SMN (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) $T_{\min} = 0.907, T_{\max} = 0.925$ 8481 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.063$ $wR(F^2) = 0.161$ S = 1.092410 reflections 332 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0743P)^2]$ + 2.6838P] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 8481
reflections
$\theta = 4.2 - 20.1^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 100 (2) K
Block, colourless
$0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

2410 independent reflections 1863 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.132$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 20.1^{\circ}$ $h = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -27 \rightarrow 27$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \text{ e Å}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL97 Extinction coefficient: 0.0068 (19) Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 985 Friedel pairs Flack parameter = 0.17 (17)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (A, °	')).
-------------------------------------	----	----

1.390 (11)	C16-O31	1.426 (11)
1.469 (10)	C19-O26	1.210 (12)
1.548 (12)	C19-O28	1.388 (12)
1.463 (13)	C20-O27	1.438 (11)
1.484 (11)	C21-O30	1.233 (12)
1.309 (12)	C21-O29	1.333 (13)
1.539 (12)	C21-C22	1.484 (14)
1.473 (10)	C23-O32	1.188 (12)
1.516 (13)	C23-O31	1.333 (13)
125.4 (9)	O32-C23-O31	123.4 (11)
104.2 (7)	O32-C23-C24	123.1 (12)
108.0 (7)	O31-C23-C24	113.5 (12)
115.1 (8)	C20-O27-C3	110.6 (7)
108.5 (8)	C19-O28-C6	109.9 (8)
124.1 (10)	C21-O29-C15	116.7 (8)
123.0 (12)	C23-O31-C16	116.0 (9)
112.9 (11)		
-175.3 (8)	C24-C23-O31-C16	170.4 (8)
	$\begin{array}{c} 1.390\ (11)\\ 1.469\ (10)\\ 1.548\ (12)\\ 1.463\ (13)\\ 1.484\ (11)\\ 1.309\ (12)\\ 1.539\ (12)\\ 1.473\ (10)\\ 1.516\ (13)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 2

Hydrogen-	bonding	geometry	(A, °).
11) al ogen	containing	Securety	(,	

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O25-H25A\cdots O32^{i}$	0.84	2.13	2.840 (11)	142
O25−H25A···O26	0.84	2.47	3.075 (9)	130

2, 2

The intensities of the data were, in general, weak, leading to the high R_{int} value. The H atoms of the methyl groups were refined as riding atoms with ideal tetrahedral geometry, allowed to rotate to fit the electron density; the isotropic displacement parameters were fixed to be 50% larger than those of the atoms to which the H atoms were bonded. Atom H25 was assigned an ideal position based on tetrahedral geometry and possible hydrogen bonding; the distance was 0.84 Å and the isotropic displacement parameter 50% larger than that of the parent O25. The other H atoms were constrained to ideal geometric positions (tetrahedral for methylene groups or trigonal planar for aromatic groups) with C–H distances fixed to default values. Their isotropic displacement parameters were set to be 20% larger than the those of the atoms to which the H atoms were bonded.

Data collection: *KappaCCD Server Software* (Nonius, 1997); cell refinement: *DENZO–SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO–SMN*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa e Tecnológico (Brazil) and the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa (Rio Grande do Sul) for financial support. Fellowships from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Technológico (CNPq, Brasil) for RAB and from CAPES-PICT (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoa de Nivel Superior for IBG are gratefully acknowledged. X-ray data were collected at the University of Toronto with funds from NSERC (Canada).

References

- Burrow, R. A., Morel, A. F., Graebner, I. B., Farrar, D. H. & Lough, A. J. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* E**59**, 0347–0349.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Graebner, I. B., Mostardeiro, M. A., Ethur, E. M., Burrow, R. A., Dessoy, E. C. S. & Morel, A. F. (2000). *Phytochemistry*, **53**, 955–959.
- Hennig, P. (1985). Doctoral thesis, University of Tübingen, Germany.
- MacKay, A. L. (1984). Acta Cryst. A40, 165–166.

Nonius (1997). KappaCCD Server Software. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.

- On'okoko, P, Vanhaelen, M., Vanhaelen-Fastré, R., Declerq, J. P. & Van Meerssche, M. (1985). *Tetrehedron*, **41**, 745–748.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2002). *PLATON*. Utrecht University, The Netherlands. Internet: http://www.Cryst.Chem.uu.nl/PLATON/